



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/843,972	04/26/2001	Robert G. Emberty	TUC9-2001-0025-US1	9810	
34282	7590 03/22/2005		EXAMINER		
QUARLES & BRADY STREICH LANG, LLP ONE SOUTH CHURCH AVENUE			SHARON, AYAL I		
SUITE 1700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TUCSON, A	AZ 85701-1621		2123		
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				- /		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/843,972	EMBERTY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ayal I Sharon	2123			
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF THI - Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If the period for reply specified above is - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 3	S COMMUNICATION. Ider the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 Idate of this communication. I less than thirty (30) days, a replication, and the maximum statutory period will be period for reply will, by statute in three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirt vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.		
Status						
1) Responsive to commur	nication(s) filed on 1/4/0	5.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
	is/are withdraw lowed. s/are rejected. 29 is/are objected to. ject to restriction and/o cted to by the Examine 26 April 2001 is/are: a)	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b) □ object drawing(s) be held in abeyan		d).		
11) The oath or declaration	is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
3. ☐ Copies of the cer	None of: If the priority documents If the priority documents If the priority documents If the priority documents If the priority If the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-8	0 21	4) [] Internation C	Immon/PTO 442)			
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-8 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s Paper No(s)/Mail Date	wing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2123

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

Claims 14-30 of U.S. Application 09/843,972 are presented for examination.
 Claims 14-19 are unchanged. Claims 1-13 have been cancelled in the amendment filed on 1/4/2005 (see p.2). Claims 20-30 are new.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless —
 - (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. The prior art used for these rejections is as follows:
- Teow, K.S. "Definitions of Managed Objects for the Fabric Element in Fibre Channel Standard". Brocade Communications Systems. © The Internet Society. May 1, 2000. (Henceforth referred to as "Sun")
- The claim rejections are hereby summarized for Applicant's convenience. The detailed rejections follow.
- 6. Claims 20-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Teow.
- 7. In regards to Claim 20,

Art Unit: 2123

20. (new) A method for emulating a fibre channel port, comprising the steps of: receiving a communication request including a fibre channel-standard address;

Teow teaches (See Section 2.1. "Management View of a Fabric Element", emphasis added):

From the management perspective, it is helpful to view a Fabric Element to be consisting of multiple "modules". <u>Each module is a grouping, either physical or logical</u>, of one or more ports that may be managed as a sub-entity within the Fabric Element.

This module mapping is recommended but optional. A vendor may elect to put all ports into a single module, or to divide the ports into modules that do not match physical divisions.

Examiner interprets the "module mapping" as corresponding to the mapping of logical ports to physical ports.

converting the fibre-channel-standard address to a physical address corresponding to a peripheral device not currently connected to a fabric port;

fetching the first peripheral device; and

coupling the peripheral device to the fabric port.

Moreover, Teow also teaches (See Section 2.1. "Management View of a Fabric Element", emphasis added):

... Each module is uniquely identified by a module number in the range of 1 through fcFeModuleCapacity inclusive. Modules may come and go without causing a management reset (of sysUpTime), and may be sparsely numbered within the Fabric Element.

... The object fcFeModuleFxPortCapacity indicates the maximum number of ports that a given module may contain. The value of fcFeModuleFxPortCapacity must not change for a given module.

However, a module may be deleted from the Fabric Element and replaced with a module containing a different number of ports. The value of fcFeModuleLastChange will indicate that a change took place.

Each port within the Fabric Element is uniquely identified by a combination of module index and port index, where port index is an integer in the range (1..fcFeModuleFxPortCapacity). As with modules

Art Unit: 2123

within a Fabric Element, ports within a module may be sparsely numbered. That is the port numbering is not required to be contiguous. Likewise, ports may come and go within a module without causing a management reset.

Examiner interprets the reference to "modules coming and going" and "ports coming and going" as corresponding to the coupling and uncoupling of peripheral devices to the ports.

8. In regards to Claim 25,

25. (new) An article of manufacture including a data storage medium, said data storage medium including a set of machine readable instructions that are executable by a processing device to implement an algorithm, said algorithm comprising the steps of:

receiving a communication request including a fibre channel-standard address;

Teow teaches (See Section 2.1. "Management View of a Fabric Element", emphasis added):

From the management perspective, it is helpful to view a Fabric Element to be consisting of multiple "modules". <u>Each module is a grouping</u>, <u>either physical or logical</u>, of one or more ports that may be managed as a sub-entity within the Fabric Element.

This module mapping is recommended but optional. A vendor may elect to put all ports into a single module, or to divide the ports into modules that do not match physical divisions.

Examiner interprets the "module mapping" as corresponding to the mapping of logical ports to physical ports.

converting the fibre-channel-standard address to a physical address corresponding to a peripheral device not currently connected to a fabric port;

fetching the first peripheral device; and

coupling the peripheral device to the fabric port.

Art Unit: 2123

Moreover, Teow also teaches (See Section 2.1. "Management View of a Fabric Element", emphasis added):

... Each module is uniquely identified by a module number in the range of 1 through fcFeModuleCapacity inclusive. Modules may come and go without causing a management reset (of sysUpTime), and may be sparsely numbered within the Fabric Element.

Page 5

... The object fcFeModuleFxPortCapacity indicates the maximum number of ports that a given module may contain. The value of fcFeModuleFxPortCapacity must not change for a given module.

However, a module may be deleted from the Fabric Element and replaced with a module containing a different number of ports. The value of fcFeModuleLastChange will indicate that a change took place.

Each port within the Fabric Element is uniquely identified by a combination of module index and port index, where port index is an integer in the range (1..fcFeModuleFxPortCapacity). As with modules within a Fabric Element, ports within a module may be sparsely numbered. That is the port numbering is not required to be contiguous. Likewise, ports may come and go within a module without causing a management reset.

Examiner interprets the reference to "modules coming and going" and "ports coming and going" as corresponding to the coupling and uncoupling of peripheral devices to the ports.

9. In regards to Claim 30,

30. (new) A method for emulating a fibre channel port, comprising the steps of:
receiving a communication request including a fibre channel-standard address;

Teow teaches (See Section 2.1. "Management View of a Fabric Element", emphasis added):

From the management perspective, it is helpful to view a Fabric Element to be consisting of multiple "modules". **Each module is a grouping, either physical or logical**, of one or more ports that may be managed as a sub-entity within the Fabric Element.

Art Unit: 2123

This module mapping is recommended but optional. A vendor may elect to put all ports into a single module, or to divide the ports into modules that do not match physical divisions.

Examiner interprets the "module mapping" as corresponding to the mapping of logical ports to physical ports.

converting the fibre-channel-standard address to a physical address corresponding to a peripheral device not currently connected to a fabric port;

identifying the physical address as being associated with data residing within a temporary storage device; and

fetching the data from the temporary storage device.

Moreover, Teow also teaches (See Section 2.1. "Management View of a Fabric Element", emphasis added):

... Each module is uniquely identified by a module number in the range of 1 through fcFeModuleCapacity inclusive. Modules may come and go without causing a management reset (of sysUpTime), and may be sparsely numbered within the Fabric Element.

... The object fcFeModuleFxPortCapacity indicates the maximum number of ports that a given module may contain. The value of fcFeModuleFxPortCapacity must not change for a given module.

However, a module may be deleted from the Fabric Element and replaced with a module containing a different number of ports. The value of fcFeModuleLastChange will indicate that a change took place.

Each port within the Fabric Element is uniquely identified by a combination of module index and port index, where port index is an integer in the range (1..fcFeModuleFxPortCapacity). As with modules within a Fabric Element, ports within a module may be sparsely numbered. That is the port numbering is not required to be contiguous. Likewise, ports may come and go within a module without causing a management reset.

Application/Control Number: 09/843,972 Page 7

Art Unit: 2123

Examiner interprets the reference to "modules coming and going" and "ports coming and going" as corresponding to the coupling and uncoupling of peripheral devices to the ports.

Response to Amendment

Re: Drawings

10. Examiner has found Applicants' argument (amendment filed 1/4/2005, p.10) pertaining to drawing number 5 to be persuasive. Examiner has withdrawn the objection to the drawing.

Re: Double Patenting

11. Applicants have filed a Terminal Disclaimer in order to overcome the Double Patenting rejections based on U.S. Patent 6,754,768. Examiner is therefore withdrawing all the Double Patenting rejections.

Re: Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. Applicants have filed an additional Terminal Disclaimer in order to overcome the 35 USC §103 rejections, which are based on U.S. Patent 5,970,030 (the Dimitri reference).
- 13. Applicants have declared (amendment filed 1/4/2005, p.9) that:

Pursuant to MPEP Sec. 706.02(1)(2), on behalf of the applicants, the undersigned hereby declares that the present application (Ser. No. 09/843,972) and U.S. Patent No. 5,970,030 were, at the time the invention of Ser. No. 09/843,972 was made, and

currently still are, commonly owned by International Business Machines Corporation.

A terminal disclaimer is attached pursuant to Rule 130 (a) (1).

14. Examiner finds this to be sufficient evidence for withdrawing all 35 USC §103(a) rejections based on the Dimitri reference, U.S. Patent 5,970,030, as required under 35 U.S.C. §103(c) and 37 CFR 1.130(a).

Re: New Claims

15. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the "Two potential solutions presented in the instant application ..." on p.13 of the amendment filed on 1/4/2005) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 16. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter for claims 14-19:
- 17. The closest relevant prior art is as follows:
 - a. Sun Microsystems Inc. <u>Sun StorEdge(tm) SCSI Target Emulation (STE)</u>
 <u>1.1 Release Notes</u>. Revision A. Part No. 806-1948-10. August 1999.
 (Henceforth referred to as "Sun")

Application/Control Number: 09/843,972 Page 9

Art Unit: 2123

b. Dimitri et al., U.S. Patent 5,970,030. (Henceforth referred to as "Dimitri").

18. Independent claims 14 and 17 contain the following limitation:

forming a first association of a plurality of commands for instructing a plurality of different types of memory elements which the host computer expects the library to be according to a fibre channel protocol;

Neither Sun nor Dimitri teach "a plurality of different types of memory elements".

In fact, Dimitri teaches away from this limitation (see col.4, lines 38-41) by teaching that:

As described above, RAID is a "redundant array of inexpensive disk drives" and stores data and parity amongst the data storage drives in such a manner that, should one data storage drive fail, the remainder may continue to operate without loss of any data."

Dimitri does not expressly teach "a plurality of different types of memory elements". The Sun reference also does not expressly teach "a plurality of different types of memory elements".

Also, unlike the present application, neither of these references teach the following limitation:

identifying the type of memory element which the host computer expects the library to be;

- 19. Moreover, the Double Patenting rejections of Claims 14 and 17 in view of Dimitri reference have been withdrawn due to the Terminal Disclaimer filed by the Applicants and the Declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.130(a) on p.9 of the amendment filed 1/4/2005.
- 20. Dependent claims 15-16 and 18-19 depend from allowable claims 14 and 17.

21. Claims 21-24 and 26-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

22. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ayal I. Sharon whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2123

(571) 272-3714. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, and the first Friday of a biweek, 8:30 am – 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Teska can be reached at (571) 272-3716.

Any response to this office action should be faxed to (703) 872-9306, or mailed to:

USPTO P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or hand carried to:

USPTO
Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center 2100 Receptionist, whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Ayal I. Sharon

Art Unit 2123

March 11, 2005

RESIDENT LANGER